OCT 1 7 2005 SELVICION HEAVE

PTO/SB/21 (02-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

## **TRANSMITTAL FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

09/299,724 **Application Number** Filing Date 003797.78520 First Named Inventor Jonathan Kagle Art Unit 2178

		Examiner Name	Cong-Lac Huynh	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 4			Attorney Docket Number	003797.78520
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)				
Fee Transmittal Form		☐ Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Group
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		Notice of Appeal from the Examiner to The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
Amendment / Reply		Petition		Reply Brief
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information
Affidavits/declaration(s)		_	of Attorney, Revocation ge of Correspondence Address	Status Letter
Extension of Time Request		Termin	nat Disclaimer	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement		CD, N	umber of CD(s)	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remai	ks	
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application				
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT				
Firm or Individual name  John M. Fleming, Reg. No.			o. 56,536	
Signature G. M-				
Date October 17, 2005				
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION				
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO (703-872-9306) on the date shown below.				
Typed or printed name				
Signature				Date

Appln. No.: 09/299,724

Reply Brief dated October 17, 2005

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Atty. Docket No.:

003797.78520

Jonathan Kagle

Serial No.:

09/299,724

Group Art Unit:

2178

Filed:

April 27, 1999

Examiner:

Cong-Lac Huynh

For:

OCT 17 2005

SIMPLIFIED DESIGN FOR HTML

Confirmation No.:

3637

## **REPLY BRIEF**

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

This is a Reply Brief in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 41.41 in reply to the Examiner's Answer mailed September 2, 2005. No fees are believed to be due in connection with this paper. However, should any fees be due, please charge such fees to our Deposit Account No. 19-0733.

Appellant wishes to resolve for the record a misunderstanding as to which rule applies to the Appeal Brief filed August 28, 2005. On page 2, the Examiner's Answer alleges that 37 C.F.R. 1.192(c)(7) applies: "The rejection of claims 1-68 stand or fall together because appellant's brief does not include a statement that this grouping of claims does not stand or fall together and reasons in support thereof. See 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7)."

Appellant respectfully traverses this assertion because the Appeal Brief was (and still is) governed by 37 C.F.R. 41.37. Contrary to the assertion in the Examiner's Answer, 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) is defunct and inapplicable to the Appeal Brief. Because 37 C.F.R. 41.37 does not

Reply Brief dated October 17, 2005

require a statement indicating claim grouping, Appellant has not waived any right to separate

consideration of separately-argued claims by excluding such a statement.

As for the remaining issues, Appellant hereby incorporate by reference all arguments set

forth in the Appeal Brief as though they were restated in full herein. In addition, Appellant

responds herein to the section entitled "(11) Response to Argument" starting at page 17 of the

Examiner's Answer. Specifically, the Examiner's Answer continues to specify two different

things as describing Appellant's "web page layout."

With respect to Appellant's claim 1 feature of, "generating a web page layout," the

Examiner's Answer indicates that the template and sub-template system of U.S. Patent No.

6,112,242 to Jois describes this generating a web page layout feature. Then, with respect to

Appellant's claim 1 feature of, "receiving a predetermined selection signal indicative of a user

interface selection device pointing at a selected predetermined region of the web page layout,"

the Answer states that U.S. Patent No. 6,330,575 to *Moore* shows "changing the style of the

header, which is a predetermined region of a web page layout ... where changing the style of the

header by *clicking* on Left, Right, or Center of the header template shows a selecting device

pointing at a selected predetermined region, which is the header, of the web page layout."

(Answer, page 18, emphasis added). In fact, a user selects entries on a step-by-step basis in

Moore without any interface with a "web page layout" until generation either under the specific

"Preview" tab or "Publish" tab. There is no "web page layout" of any kind in *Moore* for a user

to be able to select a predetermined region of the web page layout.

- 2 -

Appln. No.: 09/299,724

Reply Brief dated October 17, 2005

Appellant provides the above statement with respect to claim 1. Similar features can be found in other claims 2-68 that are pending. Appellant continues to respectfully submit that the final rejection of claims 1-68 is improper and should be reversed.

Respectfully submitted,
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: October 17, 2005

By:

John M. Fleming

Registration No. 56,536

1001 G Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20001-4597

Tel:

(202) 824-3000

Fax:

(202) 824-3001